

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FEB 08 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
(Sprint Docket No. 2225)

In re Application of:)
)
Manish Mangal et al.)
)
Serial No.: 10/627,388) Examiner: Keith Ferguson
)
Filed: July 25, 2003) Group Art Unit: 2683
)
For: Method and System for Dynamically) Confirmation No. 3928
Re-Homing a Base Transceiver Station)

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Facsimile: 1-571-273-8300
Total Page(s): 2

Dear Sir:

In regard to the above identified application,

1. We are transmitting herewith the attached:
 - a) Statement of Substance of Interview.
2. With respect to fees:
 - a) A fee is not required at this time.
 - b) Please charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment our Deposit Account, No. 210765.
3. CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE UNDER 37 CFR § 1.8: The undersigned hereby certifies that this Transmittal Letter and the paper, as described in paragraph 1, are being transmitted via facsimile (571-273-8300) to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on February 8, 2006.

Respectfully submitted,



Eric R. Moran
Registration No. 50,967

McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff LLP
300 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606
(312)913-0001

FEB 08 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
(Sprint Docket No. 2225)

In re Application of:)	
)	
Manish Mangal et al.)	
)	Examiner: Keith Ferguson
Serial No.: 10/627,388)	
)	Group Art Unit: 2683
Filed: July 25, 2003)	
)	Confirmation No. 3928
For: Method and System for Dynamically)	
Re-Homing a Base Transceiver Station)	

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW


Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.133(b) Applicants present this written statement regarding the substance of the telephonic interview conducted on February 1, 2006. The participants in the interview were Eric R. Moran, on behalf of Applicants, and Examiner Keith Ferguson.

During the interview, we discussed where the Specification supported the claim term "characteristic of the signal." Examiner Ferguson also indicated during the interview that he would withdraw the finality of the rejections of the November 15, 2005 Office Action, he would update his search, and he would then either allow the claims or issue another rejection.

Applicants thank the Examiner for his time and assistance.

Respectfully submitted,

McDONNELL BOEHNEN
HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP



Dated: February 8, 2006

By: Eric R. Moran
Reg. No. 50,967